Assessing the Effectiveness of Osteoporosis Treatments: Clinical Trials and Real-World Evidence

Xiao Luo*

Department of Health Sciences, East China University, Shanghai, China

DESCRIPTION

Osteoporosis is a chronic condition characterized by decreased bone density and increased fracture risk. Effective treatment is essential for reducing fractures and improving quality of life. The effectiveness of osteoporosis treatments is evaluated through rigorous clinical trials and real-world evidence. This examines how these two approaches contribute to understanding and validating the effectiveness of osteoporosis treatments.

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) are the gold standard in assessing the effectiveness of osteoporosis treatments. In these studies, participants are randomly assigned to receive either the treatment under investigation or a placebo (or an active comparator). This design helps eliminate bias and establish causality. The primary endpoints in osteoporosis trials typically include changes in Bone Mineral Density (BMD), fracture rates and improvements in quality of life. Secondary endpoints may involve biomarkers of bone turnover and adverse effects. Osteoporosis trials often span several years to capture long-term effects on bone density and fracture risk. Follow-up periods are essential for assessing the durability of treatment effects and monitoring long-term safety. Clinical trials have demonstrated that bisphosphonates, such as alendronate and risedronate, significantly reduce the risk of vertebral and non-vertebral fractures. For example, the Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT) established that alendronate reduced vertebral fractures by 47% and hip fractures by 51% in postmenopausal women. The Raloxifene Use for The Improvement of Bone Mass (RUTH) trial showed that raloxifene reduced vertebral fractures by 30% and had a neutral effect on breast cancer risk. The FREEDOM trial highlighted denosumab's efficacy in increasing BMD and reducing fractures. Denosumab decreased the risk of vertebral fractures by 68% and hip fractures by 40% compared to placebo. The Parathyroid Hormone and Bone Density Study (PTH-1) demonstrated that teriparatide, a recombinant parathyroid hormone, significantly increased spine BMD and reduced vertebral fractures by 65% in patients with severe osteoporosis. Clinical trials often have strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, which can limit the generalizability of results to broader populations. Patients in trials are typically healthier and have fewer comorbid conditions compared to those seen in real-world practice. Many osteoporosis trials are relatively short-term compared to the chronic nature of osteoporosis, which may not fully capture the long-term benefits and risks of treatment. Adherence to treatment protocols in clinical trials is often higher than in real-world settings, which can affect the observed efficacy and safety of treatments. These studies follow groups of patients over time to assess the outcomes of osteoporosis treatments in real-world settings. They provide valuable insights into treatment effectiveness and safety across diverse patient populations. These studies compare patients with specific outcomes (e.g. fractures) to those without, looking back to evaluate the association with different treatments. They can help identify risk factors and treatment effects that may not be apparent in clinical trials. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) provide extensive data on treatment patterns, outcomes and

*Corresponding Author: Xiao Luo, Department of Health Sciences, East China University, Shanghai, China. E-mail: xluo@nu.edu.cn

How to Cite This Article:

Luo X. Assessing the Effectiveness of Osteoporosis Treatments: Clinical Trials and Real-World Evidence. J Evid Based MedHealthc 2024;11(03):1-2.

Received: 26-August-2024; Manuscript No: JEBMH-24-147192; Editor assigned: 28-August-2024; PreQC No. JEBMH-24-147192 (PQ); Reviewed: 12-September-2024; QC No. JEBMH-24-147192 Revised: 20-September-2024; Manuscript No. JEBMH-24-147192 (R); Published: 27-September-2024; DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2024/11/03/122.

Copyright © 2024 Luo X. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 national (CC BY 4.0)]

Opinion Article

patient characteristics. They offer a comprehensive view of how treatments are used in practice and their impact on realworld outcomes.

Registries collect data from large patient populations over time, offering insights into treatment adherence, effectiveness and safety in routine clinical practice. Examples include the National Osteoporosis Foundation (NOF) registry and the European Vertebral Osteoporosis Study (EVOS). Assessing the effectiveness of osteoporosis treatments requires a comprehensive approach that integrates findings from both clinical trials and real-world evidence. Clinical trials offer controlled and rigorous evaluations of treatment efficacy and safety, while real-world evidence provides insights into practical effectiveness, adherence and long-term outcomes. By holding both sources of data, healthcare providers can make informed decisions about osteoporosis management, optimize treatment strategies and ultimately improve patient outcomes. As the field continues to evolve, ongoing research and data integration will be essential for advancing osteoporosis care and ensuring the best possible results for patients.