PROGNOSTIC UTILITY OF GLASGOW ALCOHOLIC HEPATITIS SCORE (GAHS) IN ALCOHOLIC HEPATITIS
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BACKGROUND
Severe alcoholic hepatitis is associated with high mortality, 40-50% mortality at one month has been reported. Many scoring systems have been applied to predict survival in alcoholic hepatitis. Patients with high risk need aggressive treatment. So, an accurate scoring system is essential to predict the patients who are at high risk.

The aim of this study was to assess the short term (28 day) prognostic utility of Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score (GAHS) in alcoholic hepatitis by comparing with mDF and MELD scores.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
76 patients with alcoholic hepatitis admitted to medical wards in our hospital, meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria were assessed within 24 hours. Scoring was done on day one with each scoring system, GAHS score taking parameters of age, total WBC count, serum bilirubin, blood urea and Prothrombin Time; mDF score with Prothrombin Time and serum bilirubin; MELD score with serum bilirubin, PT/INR, and creatinine level. Patients were followed up for 28 days with day-to-day in-hospital analysis of status and by telephonic conversation after discharge.

Study Design- Cross-sectional analytical study.

RESULTS
At 28th day, 40 patients survived, and 36 patients died. The median scores for survivors and non-survivors at 28 days were: for GAHS 7 (range 5-10) and 9 (range 7-11), for mDF 58 (range 2.6-214.8) and 85 (range 28.8-510.8), for MELD 21.8 (range 10-38.8) and 31 (range 11-55) respectively. For the prediction of survival at 28th day, the GAHS score >9 has sensitivity 83.3%, specificity 80%, accuracy 81.57%, p <0.001; mDF score >32 has sensitivity 88.88%, specificity 35%, accuracy 60.52%, p<0.044; mDF >77 has sensitivity 55.55%, specificity 60%, accuracy 57.89%, p>0.05; MELD score >11 has sensitivity 100%, specificity 12.5%, accuracy 53.94%, p>0.05; MELD score >27 has sensitivity 77.77%, specificity 70%, accuracy 73.68%, p <0.001. Other independent factors for high mortality were ascites, encephalopathy, high bilirubin level.

CONCLUSION
GAHS, easy to calculate at bed side, has high sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in short term (28 days) prediction of mortality in alcoholic hepatitis, superior to mDF and MELD scoring systems.
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outcome has not improved much. Steroid is only moderately effective in the treatment (Carithier L. Jr. et al., O’Shea R.s. et al.). Further improvement in treatment is needed for better outcome, which needs an accurate identification of patients with high risk of mortality. There are many scoring systems applied to predict survival in alcoholic hepatitis. The patient risk stratification and allocation of treatment is entirely dependent on scoring system that predicts survival versus mortality.

Maddrey and colleagues devised and introduced in 1978, the Discriminant Function (DF) scoring system taking serum bilirubin and prothrombin time, to predict the risk of mortality in alcoholic hepatitis and identify the patients who may benefit from steroid. The disease severity is well correlated with serum bilirubin and prothrombin time after vitamin K administration. A DF score >93 indicated a poor prognosis. In 1989 modified DF was introduced to use for placebo-controlled corticosteroid trial. An mDF score >32 with encephalopathy highly correlated with a short-term mortality rate of >50%. The mDF scoring was approved by the American college of gastroenterology.

MELD score was devised to predict outcome in patients undergoing transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts but later on, it was used to predict mortality in patient awaiting liver transplant, taking the variables serum bilirubin, PT-INR and serum creatinine level. The 30-day survival was 30% with MELD score >11, and 96% with MELD score <11. Both MELD and mDF scores had similar sensitivity but MELD had higher specificity (Kamath P.S. et al., Dunn W et al.).

Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score (GAHS) was devised by logistic regression analysis and introduced by a group of investigators in UK (Forrest & colleagues), taking the variables age, total WBC count, blood urea level, prothrombin time-INR and total bilirubin level. A GAHS score >9 had poor prognosis. Forrest et al. showed that the day 1 GAHS had an accuracy of 81% when predicting the 28-day outcome which was much superior to mDF. Further GAHS was proved to be more accurate than MELD in predicting short and long-term survival.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Inclusion Criteria

The study was done between June 2014 to January 2018 in our college. A total of 76 male patients above 18 years with a history of excess consumption of alcohol (more than 30g of alcohol per day) for at least three weeks prior admission were studied. The diagnosis of alcoholic hepatitis was confirmed by clinical and laboratory parameters within 24 hours.

Exclusion Criteria

Early death or discharge from hospital within 48 hours, GI bleeding, viral hepatitis, biliary obstruction, hepatocellular carcinoma, treatment with steroid or Pentoxyphylline were excluded from the study.

A detail history, including alcohol intake was taken.

On examination the features noted were- jaundice, anaemia, hepatic facies, parotid swelling, gynaecomastia, palmar erythema, loss of axillary and pubic hair, malnutrition, abdominal venous prominences, testicular atrophy, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly and ascites. Laboratory investigations done included CBC, blood urea, creatinine, total and direct bilirubin, AST, ALT, ALP, GGT, Prothrombin time with INR, serum albumin, lipid profile, HBsAg, antibody for HCV, X-ray chest, USG of abdomen and ascitic fluid analysis. The diagnosis was confirmed within 24 hours, by clinical features and laboratory investigations with elevated bilirubin level, elevated AST & ALT level below 300 IU/ml and AST/ALT ratio greater than 2, with or without elevated GGT. The patients were monitored for 28 days with day-to-day analysis of status during hospital stay, and by telephonic conversation after discharge. The day one prognostic scores were calculated using the formula.

1. 1 mDF= 4.6 x (Prothrombin Time_{patient} - PT_{control}) + total serum bilirubin (mg/dl);
2. MELD= 3.8 x log_{10} bilirubin (mg/dl) + 11.2 x log_{10} INR + 9.6 x log_{10} creatinine (mg/dl);
3. GAHS is calculated by my summing up all the scores of the variables given in the table 1 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>3 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WBC count (10^9/L)</td>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urea (mmol/L)</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin Time/INR</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td>1.5-2.0</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (mg/dl)</td>
<td>&lt; 7.4</td>
<td>7.4-14.8</td>
<td>&gt; 14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. GAHS Calculation

Statistical analysis was done at day 28 by dividing the patients into two groups: survivors and non-survivors. Mean + SD for all the factors with poor prognosis and Median with range for each factor used for GAHS, MELD and mDF were calculated. Student’s t-test done for age, bilirubin, urea, creatinine, total count and prothrombin time to see if any significant difference exists; p value <0.05 considered significant. Chi-square test and p value calculated for each prognostic score. The sensitivity (TPR) and specificity (TNR) were calculated by taking the median value as cut off point. The prognostic utility of GAHS to assess short term mortality (28 day) was determined by comparing its sensitivity and specificity to those of mDF and MELD; also compared with the finding of other investigators.

RESULTS

The important features the patients presented with were as follows in the tables:

Table 2(a). Signs and Symptoms of Patients in the Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom or Sign</th>
<th>Patients Affected (%) (with Number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survivor (n=40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>17.5 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>45.1 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic encephalopathy</td>
<td>27.5 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>83.33 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly</td>
<td>20 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>12.5 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The median length of hospital stay was six days (range 4-24 days). The 28 day mortality rate was 47.5% (36 out of 76 patients). This shows alcoholic hepatitis has a high mortality rate.

Table 2(a) shows the clinical features jaundice, hepatic encephalopathy and ascites have high mortality.

Table 2(b) shows the mean ± SD values of the laboratory features tWBC, bilirubin level, prothrombin time, blood urea and creatinine level which has influence on mortality in alcoholic hepatitis.

Table 3 shows the 28-day mortality for age, tWBC, bilirubin, urea, creatinine and PT/INR at their respective cut off value, and encephalopathy and ascites with their CI, TPR (sensitivity), TNR (specificity) and p values. All parameters have high mortality except the age (above 50).

Table 4 shows the GAHS, mDF and MELD scores with the median with range at their respective cut off values and the predictive utility of each score by sensitivity, specificity, accuracy and p values. GAHS at score >9 has high sensitivity, specificity and accuracy with p<0.001. The mDF at score>32 has high sensitivity but low specificity, at score >77 specificity increased but sensitivity decreased. The MELD at score >11 has very high sensitivity and accuracy but very low specificity, at score >27 the specificity increased.

The GAHS score at >9 identified the patients with alcoholic hepatitis at high risk of short term mortality more accurately (accuracy 81.57%) than mDF and MELD.
DISCUSSION
Alcoholic hepatitis has high mortality rate (30-40% at one month). Accurate identification of patients at high risk is essential to guide the physicians for aggressive treatment, and for further research.

Forrest et al in their study noted that the age, PT, urea and WBC above their cut off values were associated with poor outcome and devised GAHS and validated as a prognostic score. Similar to their observation, in the present study, the day one bilirubin > 5.5 (p<0.001), blood urea >5 mmol/L (p<0.005), INR > 2 (p<0.025), and WBC > 15000 (p<0.0025) were highly significant in predicting mortality but the age > 50 was not significant (p > 0.05). Lafferty H. et al11 in their study concluded that GAHS > 9 identified the patients who may benefit from treatment of alcoholic hepatitis.

The mDF has high sensitivity (88.8%) but low specificity (35%) at score >32, at score >77 the specificity increased (55.55%) but sensitivity decreased (55.55%). Kulkarni et al12 have also noted high mortality with score <32. Further mDF relies on absolute value of prothrombin time which varies significantly with different assays. Hence prediction of outcome is inaccurate.

The MELD score showed high sensitivity (100%) but very low specificity (12.5%) at score >11, and at score >27 the specificity increased (70%) due to increase in true negative value as noted by Dun et al. Further, it includes creatinine which limits its usefulness.

GAHS uses easily available biochemical and haematological parameters at bed side whereas mDF and MELD are difficult to calculate at bed side. Biopsy is not required for confirmation, which does not affect the accuracy as shown by Forrest et al. They also showed GAHS to be superior to mDF and MELD in predicting the outcome with higher specificity. The present study also showed that GAHS is more accurate than mDF and MELD in predicting the outcome. Further, the total WBC count, an inflammatory marker is not included in other scores, which with count > 15000/cmm is associated with more mortality as noted by Mathurin et al13.

Other parameters which predicted independently were bilirubin >5.5/dl (p<0.001), ascites (p<0.001), encephalopathy (p<0.01) as noted by Orrego H. et al14 and Sheth M. et al.15

CONCLUSION
Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score (GAHS) is superior to mDF and MELD in sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in predicting mortality in alcoholic hepatitis and can be used to assess the prognosis.
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