Challenging Dermatofibrosarcoma Protuberans- Surgical Management
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP) is a rare, variable grade malignant mesenchymal tumor of the soft tissue, characterized by slow infiltrative growth. It has a high chance of common local recurrence, with rare distant metastases.

METHODS

We present a retrospective study of twelve patients who were diagnosed with DFSP and operated at our institution in the last 10-year period. We examined the clinicopathological parameters with special emphasis on the margin status regarding the clinical outcome and the follow-up.

RESULTS

A total of 8 cases underwent re-excision at our institution following primary excision or incisional biopsy performed at a different institution. Seven cases received excision after incisional biopsy at our institution. Five patients developed recurrent disease following primary excision with histological R0 margins at other institutions and received re-excision at our institution. All excisions at our institution resulted in R0 margins with no recurrence recorded at last follow-up (6 to 175; mean 84 months). The mean margin for those who received resection at our institution was 1.67 cm. Negative margins upon primary excision were achieved using a mean margin width of 2.04 cm. Most common tumor localization was the trunk (11 cases).

CONCLUSIONS

Awareness of this rare entity is important for a prompt diagnosis and a proper management of the disease. The greatest clinical challenge in the management of DFSP is achieving local control. Complete excision of the tumor with surgical margin widths of at least 2 cms is recommended.
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BACKGROUND

Dermatofibrosarcoma protubersans (DFSP) is a rare, generally a low-grade soft tissue sarcoma accounting for approximately 1-2% of sarcoma with an annual incidence rate of 0.8-4.1 cases per million persons per year.1-2 This rare tumor is clinically characterized by an indolent clinical course since it grows slowly, similar to nodules that appear as hypertrophic scars or benign soft tissue tumors without any specific symptoms. This dermal tumor was first described by Taylor,3 clinically was classified by Darier and Ferrand4 and later named by Hoffman.5 Although congenital cases have been described, DFSP typically arises in the third decade of life.6-8 Locally however, DFSP exhibits an aggressive behavior, spreading within the dermis, subcutaneous tissue, and ultimately into muscles, with finger-like extensions. Hematogenous or lymphatic dissemination is very rare in conventional DFSP.

Approximately 10-15% of all DFSPs shows transition to a spindle cell sarcoma closely similar to adult fibrosarcoma, frequently associated with increased mitotic activity and variable loss of CD34 expression. Fibrosarcomatous dermatofibrosarcoma protubersans (FS-DFSP) is considered to be intermediate-grade neoplasm with a slightly increased risk of distant metastasis compared to ordinary DFSP.2,9 The greatest clinical challenge in the management of DFSP is achieving local control. Because DFSP arises in the dermis and invades radially through preexisting collagen bundles and deeply along connective tissue septae, its extent of invasion is often difficult to clinically appreciate, and thus determining the appropriate margin width is frequently challenging. The goal of this retrospective study is to provide the data of patients treated for DFSP at our institution and to expand the clinicopathological characteristics of this unusual neoplasm for optimizing therapeutic strategies. Awareness of this rare entity is important for a prompt diagnosis and a proper management of the disease, preventing over and under treatment of this low to intermediate-grade malignancy. Therefore, we want to highlight this tumor that should be considered in the differential diagnosis of cutaneous lesions.

METHODS

A retrospective chart review was compiled. Patients operated with a diagnosis of primary DFSP between 2002 and 2016 were included in the chart. Patient data were collected from medical records of the Department of surgical oncology MNJIO&RCC /Osmania medical college. Data included demographics (age, gender, and history), tumor presentation and characteristics (location, size), treatment modality, histopathological report, and disease evolution (location and time of recurrence, follow-up). Initial biopsies or close excisions were performed using no or narrow margins. Wide local excision was the mainstay of surgical treatment, which was performed with at least a 1-3 cm margin by removing the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and superficial fascia. In cases of non-complete or almost-complete resection or in cases treated with surgical resection at another hospital, additional wide excision was performed, including the surgical scar. The incision line was marked on the normal skin to obtain the planned distance from the tumor margin. Conventional surgery was adopted in areas in which wide excision would have been difficult to perform. A variety of surgical techniques were adopted for the most suitable wound closure, including primary intention, pedicled flaps and free flaps in cases of larger defects that did not permit primary closure. Surgical margin assessment was conducted based on macroscopic and histopathological analyses. If the tumor size was reported in three dimensions (length, height, and width), the largest dimension was declared as tumor diameter. Four lateral margins (12, 3, 6, and 9 o’clock) and one deep margin were evaluated. Follow-up was performed in checking medical records of our institution as well as conducting interviews. Patient follow-up for recurrence ranged from 5 to 175 months with a mean follow-up time of 78 months.

RESULTS

Our retrospective patient group includes 20 patients who were diagnosed with DFSP from 2002 to 2016. Sex distribution was approximately equal with a total of 11 female and 9 male patients. Mean patient age at diagnosis was 53 years (range 33 to 89 years). Almost half of tumors (n = 11) were located on the trunk. Other anatomic locations included the lower extremities (n = 4), the upper extremities (n = 2), the groin (n = 2), and the head and neck area (n = 1).

Some patients with DFSP were referred to our clinic from primary clinics, for definite surgical treatment after incomplete excision or owing to recurrent lesions. Patients were categorized as primary versus recurrent cases. The histopathological diagnosis before performing wide resection at our hospital was established as follows: 8 cases were diagnosed shortly after resection performed at another hospital (Group A), 7 cases underwent incisional or excisional biopsy at our hospital (Group B), and 5 cases were diagnosed at time of recurrence after surgery performed at another hospital (Group C). Notably, 7 out of 20 patients recounted tumor growth on cuts that previously had been exposed to trauma, such as chronic irritation, laceration, or burns. Interestingly, DFSP grew on a former site of nodular fasciitis and former site of a fibrous histiocytoma in the same patient. Further resection was required in 15 patients who either were diagnosed with microscopic positive resection margins following primary excision at a different institution (n = 8) or underwent an incisional or excisional biopsy with R1 or R0 (close) margins at our institution (n = 7). All these patients received a complete and wide local tumor excision with microscopic negative resection margins (R0 resection). Five patients (Group C), who had undergone an excision with R0 situation at different institutions, suffered a tumor recurrence. Time to local recurrence in these four patients...
DISCUSSION

DFSP is a rare mesenchymal malignant neoplasm. Its incidence in the USA ranges from 0.8 to 4.1 per million persons per year. Congenital cases have been described and incidence of DFSP increases until age 20 but not thereafter. Accordingly, most cases of DFSP arise in the third decade of life. Mean patient age at diagnosis in our study group was slightly higher (53.2 years). In our study, sex distribution is equal, while some studies reported a slight preponderance of DFSP among women. Grossly, DFSP shows a plaque-like thickening of the skin with violaceous, reddish-brown or normal skin colour. Raised nodules or a multinodular polyp growth represent the typical “protuberant” appearance when left untreated. Macroscopic lesion sizes vary from 0.5 to more than 12 cm. Several morphologic variants have been described, such as a pigmented variant “Bednar tumor.” Histologically, DFSP infiltrates the subcutis or under- lying muscle with a characteristic honeycomb pattern. The tumor is characterized by storiform fascicles of uniform spindle cells that have little to no mitotic activity. Immunohistochemically, DFSP is CD34 positive and immunohistochemical staining for CD34 is often used for differential diagnosis from dermatofibroma.

Surgical excision remains the standard of therapy. Main surgical treatment options consist of wide excision or Mohs micrographic surgery. There is no consensus on the exact safety margins in wide excision. According to Guidelines by the German Cancer Society and German Dermatologic Society, excision margins usually range from 1 to 5 cm. The authors advocate using margins of 2-3 cm as this seems “reasonable.” Yet, no clear recommendations are available. A European consensus-based guideline recommends 3-cm margins. While Woo et al. propose margins of 1.5-2 cm, Archontaki et al. suggest using margins of at least 5 cm. The Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology for Dermatofibrosarcoma Protubersans published by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommends 2- to 4-cm margins to investing fascia if wide local excision is applied. These Guidelines also emphasize the importance of excising the deep fascia to improve disease-free survival. In our series, we achieved R0 margins using margin widths ranging from 0.5 to 3 cm. Our patient data shows that a mean margin width of 1.67 cm resulted in negative margins in all patients with a median recurrence- free survival of 84 months. Hence, using margins of 1-2 cm would seem feasible to achieve adequate control in primary tumor excision. However, some of these patients had undergone primary resection already. For this reason, the stated mean margin width of 1.67 cm must be calculated on top of prior resection widths. Negative margins upon primary biopsy were accomplished by a mean margin width of 2.04 cm in 7 patients.

Consequently, we would advocate using a margin width of at least 2 cm and scrupulous histopathological examination with re-excision upon close (R0) margins to achieve adequate results. This finding concurs with the recommendations of Harati et al. who also suggest 2-3-cm margins, as well as with the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines. Achieving adequate resection margins is key since recurrence is linked to positive margins. On the other hand, ample safety margins cause large defects that may require plastic reconstruction (n = 12).

Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS) is a technique that offers histopathologic control while ensuring minimal tissue defect. While Lowe et al. lean towards applying MMS rather than wide excision for DFSP, the NCCN guideline does not favor any particular approach. Some authors recommend MMS as it should provide sufficient histopathologic control during excision, ultimately resulting in lower recurrence compared to wide excision. However, micrographic procedures are lengthy and require the necessary equipment and trained staff. Both of which might not be available at every institution. Moreover, complex or large tumors may be resectable only or in combination with wide excision. MMS was not applied at our institution. In our study, however, 12 out of 20 patients required plastic reconstruction due to large defects.
caused by excision with safety margins of 1-3 cm. Hence, MMS may be considered in primary excision of tumors that are located at critical locations, such as the head or hands, to avoid unfavorable sequelae. Notably, a study by Chen et al. found that MMS was economically equivocal to costs of wide excision in an operating room. Furthermore, additional plastic reconstruction, which was frequently associated with wide excision treatment in our study, results in higher financial burden.

Molecular therapy using Imatinib mesylate, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, targets the autocrine growth stimulus found in most DFSP. In 2006, Imatinib was approved by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for metastatic disease, unresectable recurrent, or unresectable primary tumors. In the USA, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved the use of Imatinib mesylate in 2006 in adult patients with unresectable, metastatic, or recurrent DFSP. One patient of our study group was treated with imatinib for disease size reduction in advance of surgical excision. We assume this initial size reduction to be beneficial since the patient did not suffer any tumor recurrence following excision. Bednar tumor or tumors lacking at (17;22) translocation are thought to not respond to imatinib treatment.

According to European guidelines, radiotherapy may be used as neoadjuvant therapy for primary inoperable tumors or recurrent disease. It may be used as adjuvant therapy following surgery with positive resection margins. Chen et al., who conducted a meta-analysis of the efficacy of adjuvant radiotherapy, even suggest using adjuvant radiotherapy if negative margins have been achieved. However, their analysis did not include any randomized controlled trials and included a total of 12 studies. An effective chemotherapy is currently unavailable. Yet, one patient in our group suffered from DFSP with a high-grade fibrosarcomatous component. For this reason, the patient received doxorubicin and ifosfamide as neoadjuvant therapy. As to this date, the patient has not suffered from any recurrence. Nevertheless, we cannot draw any conclusions regarding adjuvant therapy due to small sample size.

Most common locations for DFSP as reported in literature are the trunk followed by the extremities. Interestingly, there are several case reports that describe DFSP arising from a preexisting trauma to the skin, such as vaccination, tattoos, radiation, or burns. Above all, no relationship between tumor localization and tumor pathogenesis has been elucidated yet for DFSP. In our case series, we discovered that 11 out of 20 patients (56%) had a DFSP arising from an anatomical location other than the trunk. seven patients recounted prior trauma to the part of the skin that would transform into DFSP eventually.

Patients diagnosed with DFSP who underwent a complete excision have an excellent prognosis with a 5-year survival rate of 99%. Median time to local recurrence is about 3 years. Follow-up in 6-12-month intervals is recommended as DFSP has a rather high rate of recurrence.

The follow-up should be continued well beyond 5 years since recurrences after this period are not uncommon in DFSP. The median follow-up in this retrospective study was 84 months (7 years) with all patients being disease free as recorded until July 2017. Two patients out of a total of 4 patients with tumor recurrence following incomplete excision at away institutions were noted well beyond an interval of 5 years. Therefore, we concur that follow-up should be continued after 5 years.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, DFSP is a relatively rare, ubiquitous tumor of the soft tissue with challenging characteristics. We report twenty cases of DFSP treated at our institution. All patients received wide excision with safety margins of 0.5-3 cm. In our experience, we would advocate using safety margins of at least 2 cms. Unfortunately, wide excision with safety margins often leads to large soft tissue defects that ultimately require plastic reconstruction. Alternatives like Mohs micrographic surgery that could result in lower need for reconstructive surgery may be considered in the future to avoid these unfavorable sequelae.
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